

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,584	01/26/2004	Bernhard Auburger	GR02P20537 1718  EXAMINER	
75	05/20/2005			
LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A. POST OFFICE BOX 2480			COLEMAN, WILLIAM D	
	), FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	
			DATE MAILED, 05/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

; · · · •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/765,584	AUBURGER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	W. David Coleman	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja		•				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	er election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	AMINI OF INTELLED 192.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		· ,				
Attachment(s)		(070,440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/04 01/04.	_ 🗖	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
		-				

Art Unit: 2823

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35

  U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wank et al., U.S. Patent 4,828,901.

  Wank discloses a semiconductor process and a semiconductor device as claimed. Wank discloses the following limitations.
- Pertaining to claim 1, <u>Wank</u> teaches a method for encapsulating an electronic component, which comprises:

  providing a component with a coating of a flowable coating material;

hardening the material of the coating; and

completely encapsulating the assembly of the component and the coating in plastic (see whole document).

- 4. Pertaining to claim 2, <u>Wank</u> teaches the method according to claim 1, which further comprises applying the coating material to the component by immersion (please note that dipping is equivalent to immersion).
- Pertaining to claim 3, <u>Wank</u> teaches the method according to claim 1, which further comprises carrying out the coating providing step by immersing the component in the coating material (i.e., dipping).

Art Unit: 2823

6. Pertaining to claim 6, Wank teaches the method according to claim 1, which further comprises:

joining a plurality of components together; and

carrying out the coating providing step by coating the plurality of components at the same time (the Examiner takes the position that since discloses a system for the electronics industry, it will imply that a plurality of components are carried out by coating the plurality of components).

7. Pertaining to claim 7, Wank teaches the method according to claim 1, which further comprises:

joining a plurality of components together; and

carrying out the coating providing step by coating the plurality of components sequentially (see the rejection reasoning as applied to claim 6, above).

- 8. Pertaining to claim 11, <u>Wank</u> teaches the method according to claim 1, which further comprises carrying out the hardening step by drying under environmental conditions (i.e. air drying is a conventional drying method, also see column 3, line 62).
- 9. Pertaining to claim 12, Wank teaches the method according to claim 1, which further comprises providing coating material of the coating with a high coefficient of expansion and good adhesion properties (the Examiner takes the position that sufficiently high number of materials have a coefficient of expansion associated as a fundamental property and the term "high" has no patentable weight).
- 10. Pertaining to claim 13, <u>Wank</u> teaches the method according to claim 12, which further comprises selecting the coating material from a plastic selected from the group consisting of polyurethane and silicone (i.e., the intermediate layer, column 3, line 5).

Art Unit: 2823

- Pertaining to claim 14, <u>Wank</u> teaches the method according to claim 1, which further comprises selecting the coating material from a plastic selected from the group consisting of polyurethane and silicone (see the rejection as applied to claim 13 above).
- 12. Pertaining to claim 15, <u>Wank</u> teaches the method according to claim 1, which further comprises subjecting the assembly including the component and the coating to at least one further process operation before carrying out the plastic encapsulating step.
- Pertaining to claim 16, <u>Wank</u> teaches the method according to claim 1, which further comprises carrying out the plastic encapsulating step by injection molding the assembly with PBT.
- Pertaining to claim 17, <u>Wank</u> teaches the method according to claim 1, which further comprises carrying out the plastic encapsulating step by encapsulating the assembly by injection molding PBT (see second table).
- Pertaining to claim 18, <u>Wank</u> teaches an electronic component, comprising: a coating encapsulation of a flowable and hardened coating material; and a plastic encapsulation encapsulating said coating.
- 16. Pertaining to claim 19, <u>Wank</u> teaches an electronic component, comprising: a component body;
- a coating encapsulating said body, said coating being of an originally flowable and later hardened material; and
- a plastic encapsulation encapsulating said coating.
- 17. Pertaining to claim 20, Wank teaches an electronic component, comprising:

Art Unit: 2823

a coating encapsulation being of coating material applied in a flowable condition and hardened; and

a plastic encapsulation encapsulating said coating.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 19. Claims 4, 5, 8, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wank et al., U.S. Patent 4,828,901 in view of Stewart et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2003/0170450 A1.

Wank discloses a semiconductor process substantially as claimed. However, Wank fails to teach the following limitations.

- 20. Pertaining to claim 4, <u>Wank</u> fails to teach the method according to claim 1, which further comprises applying the coating material to the component by spraying. Stewart teaches that a coating can be applied by spraying. In view of <u>Stewart</u>, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the spraying step of <u>Stewart</u> into the <u>Wank</u> semiconductor process because spraying is an alternative application method, [0075].
- 21. Pertaining to claim 5, Wank fails to teach the method according to claim 1, which further comprises carrying out the coating providing step by spraying the coating material on the component. In view of Stewart, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

Art Unit: 2823

incorporate the spraying step of <u>Stewart</u> into the <u>Wank</u> semiconductor process because spraying is an alternative application method, [0075].

- Pertaining to claim 8, <u>Wank</u> fails to teach the method according to claim 1, which further comprises carrying out the coating providing step by applying the coating material to the component by several successive coating operations (see the rejection of claims 4 and 5 and the accompanying motivation)
- Pertaining to claim 9, <u>Wank</u> fails to teach the method according to claim 1, which further comprises carrying out the hardening step by supplying external heat. <u>Stewart</u> teaches carrying out the hardening step by supplying external heat. In view of <u>Stewart</u>, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the external heat of <u>Stewart</u> into the <u>Wank</u> semiconductor process because the adhesive is preferably a solid or semi-solid at room temperature [0062].
- Pertaining to claim 10, <u>Wank</u> fails to teach the method according to claim 1, which further comprises carrying out the hardening step by heating the coating. Please see the rejection an motivation as applied to claim 9 above.

# Information Disclosure Statement

25. The information disclosure statement filed January and April of 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Art Unit: 2823

# Specification

26. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

#### Conclusion

- 27. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to W. David Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-1856. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 AM 5:30 PM.
- 28. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 29. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

&

W. David Coleman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823